



ESTABLISHED 1895

**JUNE 14, 2011 SUPPLEMENTAL SUBMITTAL**  
SUPPLEMENTAL SUBMITTAL (JUNE 3, 2011)  
REVISED PLAN (MAY 26, 2011)  
OF PLAN FOR THE REDISTRICTING OF  
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICTS 49 AND 60,  
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 29, AND  
U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 32  
PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED ON APRIL 29, 2011

PRESENTED ON BEHALF OF THE  
CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE, GREATER SAN GABRIEL LODGE  
BY JOHN Y. WONG, PAST PRESIDENT, AND  
NATIONAL GRAND VICE PRESIDENT  
CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE

On May 26, 2011 the Chinese American Citizens Alliance Greater San Gabriel Valley Lodge submitted preliminary State Senate Districts 22<sup>nd</sup>, 24<sup>th</sup>, 27<sup>th</sup>, and 30<sup>th</sup> along with 29<sup>th</sup> Senate Districts. We have finalized these Latino Section 2 Districts.

In the process of finalizing these districts to demonstrate that the proposed 29<sup>th</sup> Senate District does not impair the formation of Latino districts, it was determined that all four districts could be Latino section 2 districts of 50% plus ethnicity. The 22<sup>nd</sup>, 24<sup>th</sup>, and 30<sup>th</sup> State Senate Districts in our proposal were 50% plus Latino.

The key to configuring the 24<sup>th</sup> State Senate District as a 50% plus district that is consistent with compatible community of interests, economic and poverty levels, contiguity, and compactness was assignment of South El Monte and expansion of the eastern boundary to include contiguous San Bernardino County area and the City of Chino. The resulting State Senate Districts for the San Gabriel Valley are:

SD	Pop.	Pop. Dev.	%Dev.	LCVAP	ADCVAP
20 <sup>th</sup>	914,484	-16855	1.81%	45.35%	
22 <sup>nd</sup>	930,583	-766	-.08%	51.04%	
24 <sup>th</sup>	930,165	-1,184	-.13%	50.91%	
27 <sup>th</sup>	932,601	1,252	-.13%	53.16%	
29 <sup>th</sup>	916,885	14,464	-1.55%	23.50%	37.75%
30 <sup>th</sup>	928,441	-2,908	-.31%	59.35%	
32 <sup>nd</sup>	920,101	-11,248	-1.21%	50.01%	

To maintain 49<sup>th</sup>'s State Assembly District linkage to the 60<sup>th</sup> State Assembly District to form the 29<sup>th</sup> State Senate District, the area where Monterey Park, Montebello, Whittier, Pico Rivera, South El Monte, and Rosemead intersect was used. From northern

Montebello a small populated area was taken. From Whittier, Rose Hills was taken along with the (anomaly) unpopulated water pumping facility. From Pico Rivera, the unpopulated Whittier Narrows (Corps of Engineers) area was taken. These changes allow the 29<sup>th</sup> Senate District to remain intact was proposed by the C.A.C.A Greater San Gabriel Lodge.

On the Congressional Districts, the same arguments can be make for connecting the West San Gabriel Valley with the Eastern portion of the San Gabriel Valley. With this in mind, we have drawn Congressional Districts that also reflect those objectives. The resulting Congressional Districts are:

CD	Pop.	Pop. Dev.	%Dev.	LCVAP	ADCVAP
1 <sup>st</sup>	702,901	-4	-.00%	51.23%	
28 <sup>th</sup>	702,908	3	.00%	50.12%	
31 <sup>st</sup>	702,894	-11	-.00%	50.57%	
32 <sup>nd</sup>	702,937	32	.00%	26.92%	42.84%
34 <sup>th</sup>	702,907	2	.00%	63.40%	
38 <sup>th</sup>	702,919	14	.00%	50.06%	
39 <sup>th</sup>	702,913	8	.00%	52.28%	
42 <sup>nd</sup>	702,915	10	.00%	50.12%	
43 <sup>th</sup>	702,896	-9	-.00%	46.00%	

### Conclusion

The C.A.C.A. Greater San Gabriel Valley proposed 29<sup>th</sup> State Senate District does not negatively impact the formation of four Latino majority section 2 districts.


The map is attached for the proposed 22<sup>nd</sup>, 24<sup>th</sup>, 27<sup>th</sup>, 29<sup>th</sup>, and 30<sup>th</sup> State Senate Districts.

The map is attached for the proposed 1<sup>st</sup>, 28<sup>th</sup>, 31<sup>st</sup>, 34<sup>th</sup>, 38<sup>th</sup>, 39<sup>th</sup>, 42<sup>nd</sup>, and 43<sup>rd</sup> U.S. Congressional Districts.




CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE  
 PROPOSED SENATORIAL DISTRICTS FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY

District	20	22	24	27	29	30	32
Area	95.48	61.90	173.04	83.77	245.98	102.26	211.06
Ideal Value	931,349	931,349	931,349	931,349	931,349	931,349	931,349
POP	914,484	930,583	930,165	932,601	916,885	928,441	920,101
Deviation	(16,865)	(766)	(1,184)	1,252	(14,464)	(2,908)	(11,248)
% Deviation	-1.81%	-0.08%	-0.13%	0.13%	-1.55%	-0.31%	-1.21%
% TCVP_095	46.09%	44.36%	54.52%	47.78%	61.89%	45.57%	48.09%
% LCVAP_095	45.35%	51.04%	50.91%	53.16%	23.50%	59.35%	50.01%
% WDCVAP_095	36.33%	22.67%	30.04%	22.49%	34.89%	20.80%	32.16%
% BDCVAP_095	6.23%	7.06%	4.72%	10.73%	2.64%	13.58%	11.85%
% ADCVAP_095	10.91%	17.87%	13.40%	12.11%	37.75%	5.18%	4.11%



District	1	28	31	32	34	38	39	42	43
Area	130.88	62.25	49.19	153.67	51.8	94.05	59.89	156.49	204.98

Ideal Value	702,905	702,905	702,905	702,905	702,905	702,905	702,905	702,905	702,905
POP	702,901	702,908	702,894	702,937	702,907	702,919	702,913	702,915	702,896
Deviation	-4	3	-11	32	2	14	8	10	-9
% Deviation	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
% TCVP_095	54.94%	43.48%	46.74%	62.15%	34.64%	58.56%	46.58%	49.32%	47.22%
% LCVP_095	51.23%	50.12%	50.57%	26.92%	63.40%	50.06%	52.28%	50.12%	46.00%
% WDCVP_095	29.68%	32.41%	27.37%	26.92%	10.00%	29.85%	20.32%	30.99%	33.40%
% BDCVP_095	3.37%	5.94%	4.86%	2.23%	17.74%	4.78%	15.56%	10.43%	14.37%
% ADCVP_095	14.95%	10.30%	16.09%	42.84%	7.70%	13.95%	9.76%	6.87%	4.37%



**CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE**  
**PROPOSED CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY**