



ESTABLISHED 1895

## **REVISION PLAN (JUNE 23, 2011)**

OF PLAN FOR THE REDISTRICTING OF  
29<sup>th</sup> CALIFORNIA STATE SENATE DISTRICT, AND  
32<sup>nd</sup> U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT  
PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED ON APRIL 29, 2011

PRESENTED ON BEHALF OF THE  
CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE, GREATER SAN GABRIEL LODGE BY  
JOHN Y. WONG PAST PRESIDENT, AND  
NATIONAL GRAND VICE PRESIDENT  
CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE (CURRENT)

### **Overview**

The Chinese American Citizens Alliance Greater San Gabriel Valley Lodge has submitted a revised U.S. 32<sup>nd</sup> Congressional District and 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District plans in response to testimony given at the California Citizens Redistricting Commission on April 29, 2011 and in response to discussions with various community organizations to keep together cities and communities that have common socio-economic community of interests. For example U.S. 32<sup>nd</sup> Congressional District and 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District contain a majority of cities with similar economic levels of income. The majority of the cities have income levels that are higher and in some instances significantly higher than the income levels in districts on both sides of 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District. Also, the majority of the cities within 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District have lower levels of poverty than in the majority of cities in the surrounding California State Senate Districts.

Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV attempted to preserve California State Senate Districts and U.S Congressional Districts where Latino CVAP is over 50% and the districts are all reasonably compact. For example, the Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV plan attempted to preserve 22<sup>nd</sup> California State Senate District with 50.05% LCVAP, 24<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District with a 50.91% LCVAP, 30<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District with 59.3% LCVAP, and the 32<sup>nd</sup> California State Senate District with 50.1% LCVAP. Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV also created the 27<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District which is reasonably compact with a 53.16% LCVAP. The Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV plan also preserves a reasonably compact district with similar socio-economic figures that has a LCVAP of 45.35% located in the North East San Fernando Valley.

In drawing the Section 2 State Senate voting rights districts above, the Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV took great efforts to create the 29<sup>th</sup> California State

Senate District with a 37.75% Asian CVAP without negatively impacting five (5) over 50% LCVAP California State Senate Districts that are all reasonably compact. The socio-economic criteria that were approved by the voters in their majority vote for Proposition 20 was used in creation U.S. 32<sup>nd</sup> Congressional District and 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District. The 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District is sufficiently compact. The California Citizens Redistricting Commission's failure to keep similar communities and cities together in a California State Senate District in the San Gabriel Valley continues the historic disenfranchisement of Asian Americans. The California Citizens Redistricting Commission's first draft of California State Senate District map (LAWSG) for the West San Gabriel Valley in the cities and communities that comprise the Commission's first draft state senate districts joins poverty areas with middle and upper middle income cities and communities. This appears to be in contravention of the language of Proposition 20.

The Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV's proposed 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District, a California State Senate District is adopted by the California Citizens Redistricting Commission would have the highest percentage Asian CVAP for a California State Senate District in California. Denying The Asian American Community of a crossover California State Senate District with an Asian CVAP of 37.75% is difficult to understand in light of the California Citizens Redistricting Commission creating two African American California State Senate Districts both of which contain an African American CVAP plurality. Both above referenced State Senate Districts contain 37% African American CVAP and are crossover State Senate seats. The California Citizens Redistricting Commission identified these two African American crossover California State Senate Districts as LAWBC and LAWSC. The California Citizens Redistricting Commission should adopt the 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District (attached map) as submitted by the Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV Lodge.

The Commission's first draft 32<sup>nd</sup> U.S. Congressional District Map is substantially similar to the one submitted by the Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV. However, the Commission's map excluded South Pasadena, splits Montebello, El Monte and South El Monte. In contrast the Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV proposal splits fewer cities and communities, and maintains similar socio-economic community of interests.

Enclosed are census data for the Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV U.S. Congressional 32<sup>nd</sup> District and the 29<sup>th</sup> Senate District. In addition the Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV has endeavored to preserve the six (6) current 50% LCVAP reasonably compact U.S. Congressional Districts. The Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV created an additional reasonably compact 50% LCVAP Congressional District. In contrast, the California Citizens Redistricting Commission created two less reasonably compact 50% LCVAP Congressional Districts in the same area in which the Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV drew its U.S. Congressional Districts. The Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV plan created an addition 50% LCVAP reasonably compact U.S. Congressional District in the North San Fernando Valley.

In addition the Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV restored one 50% LCVAP reasonably compact U.S Congressional District that would be located in the area that

stretches from the Cities of South Gate and Downey to the North Long Beach/Wilmington area. This restored U.S. Congressional District was the 39<sup>th</sup> U.S. Congressional District with Linda Sanchez as the Congressional Member. The California Citizens Redistricting Commission significantly lowered the percentage of LCVAP in their reconfiguration of the current 39<sup>th</sup> Congressional District.

The Chinese American Citizens Alliance map maintained two 50% LCVAP reasonably compact U.S. Congressional Districts in the area where currently U.S. Congressional 31<sup>st</sup> District and U.S. Congressional 34<sup>th</sup> District are significantly located. This is in contrast to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission U.S. Congressional maps which placed Congressman Xavier Becerra and Congresswoman Lucille Roybal-Allard in the same congressional district.

Enclosed are the Census data for the Chinese American Citizens Alliance proposal only. Maps have been revised.

Historically, the Asian America community has suffered discrimination, marginalization, fragmentation, and dilution in electoral districting. Asian Americans have therefore been disenfranchised from equal representation much like other minorities such as Latinos and African Americans.

The Chinese American Citizens Alliance established in 1895 has been a civil rights organization for 116 years and counting. Among its accomplishments is the repeal of the Chinese Exclusion Act. Currently, the Chinese American Citizens Alliance continues its vigilance in promoting and protecting the rights of Chinese Americans in particular and minorities in general. As the author of this proposal, I, John Wong am a National Grand Vice President; Past President and Co-Founder of the Greater San Gabriel Valley Lodge.

The Chinese American Citizens Alliance Greater San Gabriel Valley is presenting a revised redistricting proposal, 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District, and the 32<sup>nd</sup> U.S. Congressional District to give Asian Americans a significant voice in their own governance.

This proposed redistricting plan for the 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District, and for the 32<sup>nd</sup> U.S. Congressional District meets all of the legal mandates for legislative districts as mandated by various Supreme Court decisions concerning redistricting issues:

1. Equality of population
2. Contiguity and sufficient compactness of districts
3. Respect for county and city boundaries
4. Preservation of the integrity of geographic regions
5. Consideration of the "community of interests" in each area.

This proposal complies with Propositions 11 and 20.

Currently, the first draft plans of adopted by the California Citizens Redistricting Commission for the San Gabriel Valley located primarily in the 29<sup>th</sup> State Senate District, and the San Gabriel Valley located U.S. 32<sup>nd</sup> Congressional District do not meet

all of Proposition 20 criteria for legislative districts and we believe are in violation of the criteria set forth in Proposition 20.

The Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV submittal conforms to all data requirements set forth in Proposition 20.

### **The 29th California State Senate District**

The current 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District splits the Cities of Industry and Rowland Heights, and divides geographic communities of Citrus, and Hacienda Heights. The configuration is irregular, not compact nor contiguous. Community of interest is fragmented, and interrupts continuity of geographic regions.

The proposed revised 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District is comprised of the Cities of Alhambra, Monterey Park, San Gabriel, San Marino, Arcadia, Rosemead, Sierra Madre, Monrovia, Bradbury South Pasadena, Temple City, Walnut, Diamond Bar, Brea, Fullerton, and Chino Hills, and contiguous county area (see map). No cities are split. County areas included are East San Gabriel, North El Monte, South San Gabriel, Rose Hills, Hacienda Heights, and Rowland Heights. As such, this is a logical, sufficiently compact, and contiguous Senate District. The population conforms to Supreme Court rulings on the extent deviation is allowed.

Total Population for the 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District is 916,886. It is within - 14,464 of the ideal value of 931,349, a -1.56% deviation statistically. The breakdown of population is:

Total Population	916,885	
Latino Origin	262,656	28.65%
White	222,660	24.28%
Black	19,259	2.10%
Asian	401,731	43.81%

#### Citizens Voting Age Population

CVAP	567,422	61.89% (of Total Population)
Latino CVAP	133,342	23.50% (of CVAP)
White CVAP	197,986	34.89%
Black CVAP	14,973	2.64%
Asian CVAP	214,193	37.75%

Detail Census Block information is attached.

## **The 32<sup>nd</sup> U.S. Congressional District**

The proposed revised 32<sup>nd</sup> U.S. Congressional District is primarily a reduction of the 29<sup>h</sup> California State Senate District. No cities are split. Contiguous County geography communities are maintained as population tailoring allows. The proposed 32<sup>nd</sup> Congressional District is compact and contiguous. It respects county and city boundaries.

The revised proposed 32<sup>nd</sup> U.S. Congressional district will include cities and geographic communities of South Pasadena, San Marino, San Gabriel, Arcadia, Alhambra, Monterey Park, Rosemead, Temple City, Walnut, Diamond Bar, Monrovia and Sierra Madre and contiguous County areas (see map). Contiguous County areas include San Pasqual South, Monrovia Island, Rose Hills, Hacienda Heights, and Rowland Heights. No cities are split; contiguous County areas are maintained to the extent population tailoring will allow.

Total Population is 702,937 and is 32 within of the ideal value of 702,905, a 0.0% statistical deviation. The breakdown of population is:

### Citizens Voting Age Population (CVAP)

Total CVAP	436,895	62.15% (of Total Population)
LCVAP	117,610	26.92% (of CVAP)
White CVAP	117,611	26.92%
Black CVAP	9,738	2.23%
Asian CVAP	187,158	42.84%

Detail Census Block information is attached.

### **Communities of Interest**

The socio-economic and ethnic population data denotes significant Asian residential communities that are middle and upper class in level of income in each of the proposed districts. The cities and areas in the proposed 32<sup>nd</sup> Congressional District are in the San Gabriel Valley area. These residents share common and similar dietary needs, social and cultural events, retail needs, communication channels, language and educational needs, economic and investment interests, employment opportunities, and the lunar New Year...

A significant majority of the cities and communities located in 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District and the 32<sup>nd</sup> Congressional District have establishments that are owned by Asians and that specialize in serving the Asian immigrant population. These include, but are not limited to, local or regional shopping centers, markets, herbal medicine stores, banks, hospitals, medical offices, real estate offices, hotels and motels, language schools, nurseries and pre-schools, culture centers, restaurants, therapy centers, dance studios, media offices, professional clubs and non-profit organizations, furniture stores, salons, household appliance stores, churches, theaters, travel agencies, citizenship classes video and music stores, social welfare offices, book

stores, clothing stores, Asian dance and musical instrument classes, and employment agencies. Many of these establishments hire some employees who speak Chinese, and sometimes, only Chinese. Many other non-Chinese Asian groups have the same common interests.

## **Conclusion**

The time is now; the opportunity is here to correct the historic injustice of adopted legislative redistricting plans that resulted in the diluting, fragmenting, and marginalizing Asian American communities of interest.

Unfortunately the Commission's LAWSG Senate District continues this historic marginalizing, diluting, and fragmentation of Asian American communities; it is also not in compliance with the important provisions of Proposition 20.

The HINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE GSGV proposal for redistricting, State 29th Senate District and U.S. Congressional District 32<sup>nd</sup> would achieve the Supreme Court legal guidelines set forth in Supreme Court redistricting decisions. It would also meet the criteria set forth for legislative districts in Proposition 20. The 29th California State Senate District and 32<sup>nd</sup> U.S. Congressional District satisfying equality of population, contiguity and sufficient compactness of districts, respect of county and city boundaries, preservation of the integrity of geographic region, and consideration of the "community of interests" of the areas included in the district. The cities in the districts share many socio-economic characteristics such as a similar amount of poverty in each of the cities in comparison to the amount of poverty in the cities or areas in the surrounding districts. The 32<sup>nd</sup> U.S. Congressional District is comprised same area as 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District with the exclusion of Chino Hills, Brea and Fullerton.

The CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE GSGV Proposed U.S. Congressional Districts are all reasonably compact and seven of them have a 50% LCVAP. The districts that are 50% LCVAP and reasonably compact are 28<sup>th</sup> U.S. Congressional District, 31<sup>st</sup> U.S. Congressional District, 34<sup>th</sup> U.S. Congressional District, 38<sup>th</sup> U.S. Congressional District, 39<sup>th</sup> U.S. Congressional District, and 42<sup>nd</sup> U.S. Congressional District and a U.S. Congressional District numbered CD 1 District. In addition Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV contains a crossover 46% LCVAP which is reasonable compact and numbered U.S. Congressional 43<sup>rd</sup> District. See map attached. This Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV congressional plan creates two more 50% LCVAP reasonable compact Section 2 districts than the Commission's first draft created. It is important to point out that one of the California Citizens Redistricting Commission's over 50% LCVAP Congressional District is located in an area where there is significant African-American Community the Commission proposed congressional district would have a 39.9% African-American CVAP. In reality African-American voting strength would be close to the voting strength of the Latinos that reside in this district. An African-American Congress member from this area of Los Angeles would be favored to win this Congressional District.

The Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV proposed districts have been tailored to keep community socio-economic interest consistent as well as to reflect demographic changes of the 2010 census. This revision takes into account to testimony given to the

California Citizens Redistricting Commission on May 26<sup>th</sup>, 2011. It also takes into account subsequent discussions with organizations and individuals from May 27<sup>th</sup> to June 11<sup>th</sup>, 2011 in regards to alternative ways to draw state senate and congressional districts that comply with the Section 2 of the Federal Voting Acts that are located in Counties of Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, and Riverside. The Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV redistricting proposal for 32<sup>nd</sup> U.S. Congressional District and 29<sup>th</sup> California State Senate District will finally give the Asian Community an opportunity to elect candidates of their own choice in these two legislative districts. Their adoption will help overturn a history of state senate and congressional redistricting that fragmented, diluted, and marginalized Asian Americans.

This redistricting proposal will give voice to Asian Americans long held silent by previous discriminatory electoral districting practices.

Attached are Chinese American Citizens Alliance GSGV Supplemental submittals with maps for the alternative Congressional and California State Senate Districts that comply with Section 2 of the Federal Voting Rights Act and comply with the provisions of Proposition 11 and Proposition 20.

COMPARISON OF CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE 29TH STATE SENATE ASSUMBLY DISTRICTS  
SOURCE: CITY-DATA.COM

CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE DATA FOR THE 29TH SENATE DISTRICT

	<i>est</i> <i>Median</i> <i>Income</i>	<i>est</i> <i>Per Capita</i> <i>Income</i>	<i>est</i> <i>Median</i> <i>Age Yrs.</i>	<i>est</i> <i>Median</i> <i>Home Value</i>
<i>California</i>	\$ 58,931.00		33.3	384200
<i>49th AD CACA-GSGV Preposed</i>				
<i>Alhambra</i>	\$ 52,075.00	\$ 24,235.00	35.0	\$ 478,400.00
<i>Monterey Park</i>	\$ 51,865.00	\$ 22,904.00	38.4	\$ 412,983.00
<i>San Gabriel</i>	\$ 54,962.00	\$ 23,057.00	35.6	\$ 472,693.00
<i>San Marino</i>	\$ 159,424.00	\$ 72,060.00	42.7	\$ 845,757.00
<i>Monrovia</i>	\$ 63,918.00	\$ 29,931.00	33.7	\$ 474,412.00
<i>Bradbury</i>	\$ 144,294.00	\$ 57,319.00	41.8	\$ 845,757.00
<i>Arcadia</i>	\$ 77,758.00	\$ 36,822.00	40.5	\$ 649,625.00
<i>Rosemead</i>	\$ 45,600.00	\$ 16,849.00	32.3	\$ 392,092.00
<i>Sierra Madre</i>	\$ 83,101.00	\$ 52,221.00	42.6	\$ 652,078.00
<i>South Pasadena</i>	\$ 79,883.00	\$ 45,922.00	37.4	\$ 682,017.00
<i>Temple City</i>	\$ 65,093.00	\$ 25,888.00	38.6	\$ 476,414.00
<i>East San Gabriel</i>	\$ 66,955.00	\$ 30,539.00	36.4	\$ 526,060.00
<i>North El Monte</i>	\$ 67,786.00	\$ 25,645.00	38.6	\$ 409,008.00
<i>South San Gabriel</i>	\$ 47,156.00	\$ 17,126.00	36.0	\$ 365,789.00
<i>60th AD CACA-GSGV Proposed</i>				
<i>Walnut</i>	\$ 100,028.00	\$ 32,362.00	37.2	\$ 548,388.00
<i>Diamond Bar</i>	\$ 88,598.00	\$ 33,439.00	36.5	\$ 491,807.00
<i>Brea</i>	\$ 77,253.00	\$ 34,285.00	36.4	\$ 529,807.00
<i>Fullerton</i>	\$ 68,166.00	\$ 29,579.00	32.9	\$ 478,800.00
<i>Chino Hills</i>	\$ 99,172.00	\$ 30,954.00	32.3	\$ 491,200.00
<i>Hacienda Heights</i>	\$ 69,766.00	\$ 27,739.00	36.9	\$ 443,514.00
<i>Rowland Heights</i>	\$ 64,986.00	\$ 23,992.00	34.7	\$ 461,614.00

COMPRISON OF CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE 49TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT WITH COMMISSION LAWSG  
 ASSEMBLY DISTRICT  
 SOURCE: CITY-DATA.COM


COMMISSION'S DATA FOR THE 29TH SENATE DISTRICT

	est Median Income	est Per Capita Income	est Median Age Yrs.	est Median Home Value
California	\$ 58,931.00		33.3	384200
<i>49th AD CACA-GSGV Preoposed</i>				
Alhambra	\$ 52,075.00	\$ 24,235.00	35.0	\$ 478,400.00
Monterey Park	\$ 51,865.00	\$ 22,904.00	38.4	\$ 412,983.00
San Gabriel	\$ 54,962.00	\$ 23,057.00	35.6	\$ 472,693.00
San Marino	\$ 159,424.00	\$ 72,060.00	42.7	\$ 845,757.00
Monrovia	\$ 63,918.00	\$ 29,931.00	33.7	\$ 474,412.00
Bradbury	\$ 144,294.00	\$ 57,319.00	41.8	\$ 845,757.00
Arcadia	\$ 77,758.00	\$ 36,822.00	40.5	\$ 649,625.00
Rosemead	\$ 45,600.00	\$ 16,849.00	32.3	\$ 392,092.00
Sierra Madre	\$ 83,101.00	\$ 52,221.00	42.6	\$ 652,078.00
South Pasadena	\$ 79,883.00	\$ 45,922.00	37.4	\$ 682,017.00
Temple City	\$ 65,093.00	\$ 25,888.00	38.6	\$ 476,414.00
East San Gabriel	\$ 66,955.00	\$ 30,539.00	36.4	\$ 526,060.00
North El Monte	\$ 67,786.00	\$ 25,645.00	38.6	\$ 409,008.00
South San Gabriel	\$ 47,156.00	\$ 17,126.00	36.0	\$ 365,789.00
<i>COMMISSION LAWSG AD CITIES</i>				
South Gate	\$ 42,362.00	\$ 13,808.00	26.0	\$ 314,000.00
Bell Gardens	\$ 38,337.00	\$ 11,308.00	23.8	\$ 348,282.00
Bell	\$ 37,483.00	\$ 12,544.00	25.9	\$ 299,736.00
Huntington Park	\$ 35,107.00	\$ 12,040.00	26.5	\$ 350,820.00
Maywood	\$ 37,724.00	\$ 11,660.00	24.9	\$ 314,959.00
Commerce	\$ 49,174.00	\$ 15,773.00	28.2	\$ 327,138.00
Vernon	\$ 76,059.00	\$ 25,275.00	28.8	\$ 375,658.00
East Los Angeles	\$ 33,542.00	\$ 12,148.00	26.5	\$ 311,500.00




**CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE**  
**PROPOSED CALIFORNIA SENATORIAL DISTRICTS**  
**FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY**  
**JOHN Y. WONG, GRAND VICE PRESIDENT**

District	20	22	24	27	29	30	32
Area	95.48	61.90	173.04	83.77	245.98	102.26	211.06
Ideal Value	931,349	931,349	931,349	931,349	931,349	931,349	931,349
POP	914,484	930,583	930,165	932,601	916,885	928,441	920,101
Deviation	(16,865)	(766)	(1,184)	1,252	(14,464)	(2,908)	(11,248)
% Deviation	-1.81%	-0.08%	-0.13%	0.13%	-1.55%	-0.31%	-1.21%
% TCVPAP_095	46.09%	44.36%	54.52%	47.78%	61.89%	45.57%	48.09%
% LCVAP_095	45.35%	51.04%	50.91%	53.16%	23.50%	59.35%	50.01%
% WDCVAP_095	36.33%	22.67%	30.04%	22.49%	34.89%	20.80%	32.16%
% BDCVAP_095	6.23%	7.06%	4.72%	10.73%	2.64%	13.58%	11.85%
% ADCVAP_095	10.91%	17.87%	13.40%	12.11%	37.75%	5.18%	4.11%






**CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE  
PROPOSED 29TH SENATORIAL DISTRICT  
FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY  
JOHN Y. WONG, GRAND VICE PRESIDENT**






**CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE  
PROPOSED 20TH SENATORIAL DISTRICT  
FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY**

**JOHN Y. WONG, GRAND VICE PRESIDENT**






**CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE  
PROPOSED 22TH SENATORIAL DISTRICT  
FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY  
JOHN Y. WONG, GRAND VICE PRESIDENT**





**CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE  
PROPOSED 24TH SENATORIAL DISTRICT  
FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY**  
**JOHN Y. WONG, GRAND VICE PRESIDENT**






29


SINCE 1895

**CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE  
PROPOSED 27TH SENATORIAL DISTRICT  
FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY  
JOHN Y. WONG, GRAND VICE PRESIDENT**

27







SINCE 1895

**CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE  
PROPOSED 32ND CALIFORNIA SENATE DISTRICT  
FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY**

**JOHN Y. WONG, GRAND VICE PRESIDENT**

32


24

29

Chinese Hills



District	1	28	31	32	34	38	39	42	43
Area	130.88	62.25	49.19	153.67	51.8	94.05	59.89	156.49	204.98
Ideal Value	702,905	702,905	702,905	702,905	702,905	702,905	702,905	702,905	702,905
POP	702,901	702,908	702,894	702,937	702,907	702,919	702,913	702,915	702,896
Deviation	-4	3	-11	32	2	14	8	10	-9
% Deviation	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
% TCVPAP_095	54.94%	43.48%	46.74%	62.15%	34.64%	58.56%	46.58%	49.32%	47.22%
% LCVAP_095	51.23%	50.12%	50.57%	26.92%	63.40%	50.06%	52.28%	50.12%	46.00%
% WDCVAP_095	29.68%	32.41%	27.37%	26.92%	10.00%	29.85%	20.32%	30.99%	33.40%
% BDCVAP_095	3.37%	5.94%	4.86%	2.23%	17.74%	4.78%	15.56%	10.43%	14.37%
% ADCVAP_095	14.95%	10.30%	16.09%	42.84%	7.70%	13.95%	9.76%	6.87%	4.37%




**CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE  
PROPOSED CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY  
JOHN Y. WONG, GRAND VICE PRESIDENT**



SINCE 1895

**CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE  
PROPOSED 32TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT  
FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY**

**JOHN Y. WONG, GRAND VICE PRESIDENT**




Wong ca2001 Congressional wo Di. 034




SINCE 1895

**CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE  
PROPOSED 28TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT  
FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY**

**JOHN Y. WONG, GRAND VICE PRESIDENT**







**CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE  
 PROPOSED 34TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT  
 FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY  
 JOHN Y. WONG, GRAND VICE PRESIDENT**



**SINCE 1895**

032

Alhambra

031

034

039

South San Gabriel

Pico Rivera

Downey

Commerce

Bell Gardens

East Los

Boyle Heights

034

Vernon

Maywood

Bell

Cudahy

South Gate

Lynwood

Artist District

Little Tokyo

Downtown

Westlake

Pico-Union

Los Angeles

Central

Vermont Central

Huntington Park

Walnut Park

Florence-Graham

Green Meadows

Vermont Vista

University Park

South Park

Florence-Firestone

Koreatown

Harvard Heights

West Adams

Adams-Normandie

Exposition Park

West Vernon

Vermont Square

Harvard Park


Vermont Knolls

Gramercy Place

Inglewood

Wilmington Park

Westmont




SINCE 1895

**CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE**  
**PROPOSED 38TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT**  
**FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY**  
**JOHN Y. WONG, GRAND VICE PRESIDENT**



**CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE  
PROPOSED 39TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT  
FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY  
JOHN Y. WONG, GRAND VICE PRESIDENT**





SINCE 1895

**CHINESE AMERICAN CITIZENS ALLIANCE  
PROPOSED 42TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT  
FOR SAN GABRIEL VALLEY**

**JOHN Y. WONG, GRAND VICE PRESIDENT**

