

Sharon Brumley

From: Robert and Carol Abeling [bob.carol.a@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2009 8:41 AM
To: Dan Claypool
Cc: Sharon Brumley
Subject: BSA letter 8-21-09

Mr. Daniel Claypool
Bureau of State Audits
555 Capitol Mall Ste 300
Sacramento, CA 95814

August 24, 2009

Title 2 Division 10
California Code of Regulations

Mr. Claypool:

I wish to make the following observations & comments regarding the proposed implementation of Proposition 11 (redistricting)

60840 (a) (3)

The word "community partners" should be given a broader explanation.

60841 (d)

A correction should be made to read "removed from the list **BY** the legislative leaders, at present it reads removed from the list **THE** legislative leaders.

60843 (c) (2)

A more detailed description of "economic status" should be given

60843 © & 60846 (5)

Broader language should be used to determine the meanings of "certify" & "certification"

60847 (b)

Either specific direct questions should be added under (2) (iv) or (b) should be changed to eliminate "but not be limited to". This statement leaves this section open ended which is unfair to the applicant pool.

60852 (c)

I question the last part of the paragraph. It is my belief that the Secretary of State and the Chief Clerk of the Assembly are not obligated to remove 8 applicants from the sub-pools, just up to a maximum of 8 per sub-pool.

60826 (c) (b) (2)

A more detailed description of "sophisticated software" is needed.

60824 (c) (3) insure an absolute even distribution

Mr

I believe the bingo cage should be rotated a minimum of 5 times besides vigorously to insure an absolute even distribution

Thank you for your time
Bob Abeling
1625 Indian Valley Rd.
Novato, CA 94947
415 897-1745
bob.carol.a@comcast.net